

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/779,810	02/18/2004	George Plester	01638.0014.NPUS01	6837
22930	7590 10/17/2005		EXAMINER	
HOWREY L	 -		TRUONG,	THANH K
	(ETING DEPARTMENT EW PARK DR, SUITE 200		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	RCH, VA 22042-2924		3721	

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/779,810	PLESTER, GEORGE				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thanh K. Truong	3721				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 July 2005.						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-23 and 54-69</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>20-23,54-65,67 and 68</u> Claim(s) <u></u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-19,66 and 68</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u></u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u></u> are subject to restriction and/or	69 is/are withdrawn from consider	ation.				
Applicati	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) 🛛 Inforr	e of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>8-13-2004</u> .		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/779,810

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-19, 66 and 68 in the reply filed on July 22, 2005 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 20-23, 54-65, 67 and 69 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on July 22, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4-8, 10, 12-17, 19, 66 and 68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Drevfors (6,256,964) in view of Helmut (5,860,461).

Drevfors discloses a method comprising the steps of:

filling the inside of the package (1) with a sterilizing vapour (column 4, lines 42-44);

holding the sterilizing vapour on the inside of the package for a sufficient amount of time to sterilize the inside of the package;

removing a portion of the sterilizing vapour (column 4, lines 47-54);

Application/Control Number: 10/779,810

Art Unit: 3721

filling the package with a product (column 4,lines 56-58);

capping the filling aperture of the package containing the product (column 4, lines 61-63).

Drevfors discloses the claimed invention, but does not expressly disclose the membrane fitted over the filling aperture.

Helmut discloses the membrane fitted over the filling aperture the membrane being configured to be disposed in a first position in which the membrane is substantially impenetrable to vapour and a second position in which the membrane has been displaced to permit the insertion of an elongated member into the package (figures 5, 6 & 10 and column 2, lines 60-63). Helmut method provides "a container of a sealing cap and a process which aseptic filling of beverages is possible at an acceptable level of engineering complexity and cost" (column 2, lines 24-28).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time applicant's invention was made, to have modified Drevfors method by incorporating the method of using the membrane as taught by Helmut to provide a method in which aseptic filling of beverages is possible at an acceptable level of engineering complexity and cost.

The combining of the references (Drevfors and Helmut) further discloses: the step of allowing a sufficient quantity of the sterilizing vapour to exit the package before filling the package with a product to avoid affecting the quality of the product, wherein the sterilizing vapour exits the package and sterilizes a part of a filling device that comes into contact with the product (Helmut - column 4,lines 37-42); the membrane opens to greater than about 10% of the area of the filling aperture during the filling steps Application/Control Number: 10/779,810

Art Unit: 3721

(Helmut - figure 5); displacing the sterilizing vapour with sterile air (sterile gas as in claim 6), wherein the sterile air forms a headspace of the capped package (column 8, lines 1-8); pressing the membrane segments tightly against inner walls of the package to accelerate displacement of the sterilizing vapour by eliminating the gap between membrane segments and the inside of the package (Helmut - figure 5); the step of wetting the membrane with a fluid, wherein the wetted membrane has increased ability to prevent entry of contaminants; heating the package wherein the heating increases the internal pressure of the gas in the package, and enhances prevention of entry of contaminants into the package (Helmut - column 4, lines 17-19); using conventional non-aseptic filling equipment adapted to fill aseptically; wherein the non-aseptic filling equipment is used aseptically part time; the step of sterilizing an outside surface of the membrane before the capping step; wherein the step of sterilizing an outside surface of the membrane is achieved with a sterilizing medium that does not affect the quality of the product in small amounts (Helmut – column 4, lines 22-24); the step of sterilizing the parts of the filling device that come in contact with the product to be filled between filling operations by spraying with chlorinated water, by sterilizing vapour (Helmut column 4, lines 37-42); conveying the package from a location for filling the package with a sterilizing vapour to a filling location, the package having the sterilizing medium substantially sealed inside of the package while the package is being conveyed (Drevfors - figure 1); and disposing a cap over the membrane, whereby the membrane becomes interal to the cap after the cap is disposed over the membrane (Helmut figure 10).

Application/Control Number: 10/779,810

Art Unit: 3721

5. Claims 3, 11 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Drevfors (6,256,964) in view of Helmut (5,860,461).

As discussed above in paragraph 4 of this office action, the combination of the references discloses the claimed invention, but did not expressly disclose that: the membrane material is an elastomer selected from the group consisting of silicone rubber, natural rubber, etc, wherein the fluid contains a thickener to increase the viscosity of the fluid, and the step of rinsing the parts of the filling device that come in contact with the product to be filled with hot water after each filling step.

The examiner take Official Notice that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time applicant's invention was made, to have used membrane that is made out of natural rubber to provide the elastic property to the mechanical sealing device (furthermore, Helmut discloses the use of elastic material – column 5, lines 65), since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

The examiner take Official Notice that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time applicant's invention was made to have made the fluid contains a thickener to increase the viscosity of the fluid, since it is old and well known in the art to add thickener to increase the viscosity of the fluid (common sense). The examiner take Official Notice that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time applicant's invention was made to rinse the parts of the filling device that come in contact with the product to be filled with hot water after each filling step to ensure the equipment is thoroughly sterilize in the process.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/779,810

Art Unit: 3721

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Thanh K. Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-

4472. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thru 8:00AM - 6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tkt

October 2, 2005.

LOUIS K. HUYNH PRIMARY EXAMINER